

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,498	WOLF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jay M. Cantor(#19,906).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, and 13

Claims discussed:


1, 4, 8, 11, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

USPAT 5,761,248

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Potential provisional double patenting issue with application 09772676. Amending claims to overcome U.S.C. 112, 2nd and prior art.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)